Rob Roy MacGregor Fletcher

Muon Ionization Cooling Experiment at RAL

University of California, Riverside

What do you mean by cooling?

- A particle in a beam has an emittance related to its position measured from the center of the beamline and its transverse momentum.
- When we plot these two values for each particle in the beam we get ellipses who's area is the emittance of the beam.
- Cooling is the reduction of this emittance, or making this area smaller.



Why Ionization Cooling?

- Standard cooling methods are too slow for muons due to their short lifetime (~2.2µs).
- Ionization cooling works by allowing a muon beam to ionize liquid hydrogen removing momentum from the muons in all dimensions.
- Momentum is replaced in only the Z by RF.



Why Cold Muons?

- Particle beams need to be cooled to "fit" into an accelerator
- Cooling of a muon beam would allow construction of new accelerator based experiments such as a Muon Collider and Neutrino Factory.





Muon Collider / Neutrino Factory



MICE Collaboration

 International collaboration of physicists from 11 countries hosted by the Rutherford
 Appleton Laboratory in the United
 Kingdom



ISIS Proton Accelerator

- Located at the Rutherford Appleton Lab Used by MICE as a proton source





MICE Cooling Channel (Step VI)



Sci-FiTrackers

- Scintillating Fiber Trackers will be used as the main detectors for emittance measurements.
- Housed inside of a superconducting spectrometer solenoid.
- 5 Tracking stations made of 3 planes of fibers each



Sci-FiTrackers



Sci-FiTrackers



MICE Currently



Time Of Flight Detectors

- Measures time of flight between two detectors to determine momentum
- Made of two planes of orthogonal slabs to determine a rough transverse position measurement





Target



- A metal shaft that is dipped into the ISIS proton beam
- Protons collide with our target producing pions



Quadrupole Magnets

- Work very similar to optical lenses except they focus in one direction and defocus in the other
- Must be implemented in sets (Triplets in our case).



Decay Solenoid



 Very high field superconducting magnet (~5T)

- Causes charged particles to pass through in a helical path increasing the path length.
- Allows time for pions to decay to muons

Dipole Magnets

- Also known as bending magnets
 Used to 'select' particle memory
- Used to 'select' particle momentum



Rob Roy MacGregor Fletcher

My Contributions to MICE this summer

University of California, Riverside

- The MICE cooling channel will have several 'input' emittances determined by a diffuser.
- All 12 of the upstream magnets must be tuned to output a matching emittance.

(ε,Ρ) matrix			
ε (mm rad) P (MeV/c)	2.8	6.0	10.0
140	<i>t</i> ₁₁	t ₁₂	
200		t ₂₂	
240			<i>t</i> ₃₃

 Achieved by running Monte Carlo simulations many times.
 After each iteration, software calculates covariance matrices and normalized emittance.



- Previous optimization scheme was a FORTRAN genetic algorithm.
- Covariance and emittance calculations done by ECalC9.
- This is VERY slow. 3-4 days per optimized setting.

- Wrote a new optimizer using ROOT's Minuit minimizer and C++
- Optimizes by minimizing χ²

$$\chi^2 = \frac{\left(\varepsilon_c - \varepsilon_t\right)^2}{\sigma^2}$$

 Currently finds minimum with simplex and then MIGRAD method

Conclusions and Future Work

- Now takes only ~10 hours to produce an optimized setting
- All new optimized currents were within ~3% of values produced with old optimizer
- Will be modifying the optimizer to use Newton's method
- Program will be made to run on the GRID to reduce calculation time significantly

Neutrals In the Beamline

 Observed as an apparent TOFo inefficiency however positive beamline settings suggested otherwise.



Negative Beamline

Positive Beamline

Positive BL with D2 off



- No charged particles will make it to the detectors.
- All particles must either be neutrals or cosmics

Positive BL with D2 off



Target Frame Raised Avg Triggers per spill: 0.2 (negligible)



Target Frame Raised



Positive BL with D2 off



- Mean position tells us the most likely particle rate.
- RMS gives us an approximate spread.

Positive BL Avg # of Tof1 w/o Tofo : 5.3 per spill



Negative BL Avg # of Tof1 w/o Tofo: 6.3 per spill



Preliminary on New Data

- Rate is about the same with all magnets switched off.
- Scales with beam loss.
- Rate falls off to that of cosmics with beam stop up.

Conclusions and Future Work

- Apparent TOFo and reconstruction inefficiency caused by neutrals in the beamline.
- Can expect around 6 neutrals per spill.
- At the current beam losses will not saturate the TOF's.
- Will be using 'MIP' to develop an energy calibration for the PMT's that will allow us to identify neutrals and ignore them in the reconstruction

- Determine overall particle rate as a function of target delay
- Find ratio of muons to electrons as a function of target delay
- Develop a time tag calibration for all 6 TDC's
- Show trigger rate within a spill gate

Overall particle rate tells us how many triggers to expect
Need to know this because the trigger has a rate limit



- Time of Flight distribution allows particle identification Must separate peaks and add all bin counts



- A time tag is associated with a trigger by the timeto-digital converters (TDC)
- There are 6 TDC's each with their own 27 bit counters
- These counters are not reset at the same time nor have the same period as the MICE spill rate
- A calibration must be developed to look at timing within a single spill



- Must find the end of the first spill gate
- Make successive approximations using the last trigger time tag in all spills
- Counter does not start at zero and could reset anywhere in the spill or outside of it



Conclusions and Future Work

- Repeat this process for each TDC for every data file
- This calibration will allow me to `map' each trigger time tag into the first spill gate and produce a time distribution within the gate
- Timing distributions can be used for locating our target in the ISIS beam and to better understand the arrangement of dead time, then to minimize it

Final Conclusions

- Wrote a new optimizer to decrease calculation time significantly
- Identified neutral particles in the beam line and showed it was not a detector inefficiency
- Developed a method and software to calculate a TDC calibration used for analyzing data

Thanks...

Deans Fellowship Gail Hanson (UCR) Marco Apollonio (Imperial College, London) Jean-Sebastian Graulich (Geneva University) The Entire MICE Team

Special Thanks to Mrs. Rochelle Campbell and the Campbell family

